State of Wisconsin/Department of Transportation RESEARCH PROGRESS REPORT FOR THE QUARTER ENDING: Mar 31, 2002

Project ID: 0092-01-03

Program: SPR-0010(36) FFY99 Part: II Research and Development

Project Title: HMA Moisture Damage as it Relates to Pavement

Performance

Administrative Contact: Nina McLawhorn Sponsor:

WisDOT Technical Contact: Error! Bookmark not defined.

Approved by COR/Steering Committee: \$50,753.00

Approved Ending Date: May 15, 2002

Project Investigator (agency & contact): Hussain Bahia: UW-Madison

Description: Error! Bookmark not defined.

To	Total study budget Current FFY budget		Expenditures for current quarter	Total Expenditures to date		
	\$50,753.00	\$25,376.50	\$0.00	\$0.00		

Progress This Quarter:

(Includes project committee mtgs, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.)

The work accomplished last quarter was focused on the following topics:

1. Cost Analysis

The cost of using anti-stripping additive in the mixtures, the additional cost of TSR testing, and the safety concerns when using additives were compared to the cost of maintenance including repairing possible distresses due to not using of anti-stripping additives, after a specifed pavement life. Table 1 and 2 illustrate the cost estimation for asphalt pavement with anti-stripping additive, and with maintenance (no anti-stripping additive) respectively. The final costs show only small marginal difference between the life cycle cost of a pavement with and without using additives. It should be noted that cost is for a specifc mixture design and therefore, different amount of material required per mix design could result in different final cost estimations.

Table 1 Estimated Cost for Asphalt Mix with Anti-Stripping Additive

	- Asphalt mix cost = S	\$19.18 / ton								
Standard Pavement Structure with Anti-	- Safety cost = \$0.07 / ton									
Stripping Additive	- Additive = \$0.70 / ton (Average)									
Design Life = 18 years	- TSR Testing (before and after adding anti-stripping additive)									
	= 5									
	The total is two mix designs									
	1. Upper layer – 12.5 mm, 1.75 in. thickness									
	2. Lower layer – 19 mm, 2.50 in. thickness									
	5,000 ton / mix design	10,000 ton / mix design	30,000 ton / mix design	75,000 ton /						
	- TSR Testing = \$0.46 / ton - Total cost = \$20.41 / ton = \$20.41 / 20 = \$1.021 ft ³	- TSR Testing = \$0.230 / ton - Total cost = \$20.18 / ton = \$20.18 / 20 = \$1.009 ft ³	- TSR Testing = \$0.076 / ton - Total cost = \$20.026 / ton = \$20.026 / 20 = \$1.001 ft ³	- TSR Testin ton - Total cost = \$19.98 / 2						

	· ·	it weight of asphalt mixture = 1 25 inches thickness, and 26 feet	,					
	= \$1.021 x 26' x 0.354' =							
Typical Overlay with Anti-Stripping Additive	- Asphalt mix cost = \$19.18 / ton - Safety cost = \$0.07 / ton - Additive = \$0.70 / ton (Average) - TSR Testing (before and after adding anti-stripping additive) = \$1,150 / mix design							
	5,000 ton / mix design - TSR Testing = \$0.23 / ton - Total cost = \$20.18 / ton = \$20.18 / 20 = \$1.009 ft ³	- TSR Testing = \$0.115 / ton - Total cost = \$20.065 / ton = \$20.065 / 20 = \$1.003 ft ³	30,000 ton / mix design - TSR Testing = \$0.038 / ton - Total cost = \$19.988 / ton = \$19.988 / 20 = \$0.999 ft ³	- TSR Testin - Total cost = = \$19.965 / 1				
	= \$1.009 x 26' x 0.292' = \$7.652 / ft = \$40,400 / mile	= \$1.003 x 26' x 0.292' = \$7.615 / ft = \$40,206 / mile	= \$0.999 x 26' x 0.292' = \$7.584 / ft = \$40,046 / mile	= \$0.998 x 2 \$7.577 / ft = \$40,005 /				

Table 2 Estimated Cost for Asphalt Mix with Maintenance (No Anti-Stripping Additive)

	5,000 ton / mix design	10,000 ton / mix design	30,000 ton / mix design	75,000 ton /				
	4. Lower layer – 19	mm, 2.50 in. thickness						
Design Life = 18 years	3. Upper layer – 12.5 mm, 1.75 in. thickness							
Stripping Additive	The total is two mix designs							
Maintenance (No Anti-	- TSR Testing	= \$575 / mix design						
Standard Pavement Structure with	- Safety cost	= \$0.07 / ton						
	- Asphalt mix cost	= \$19.18 / ton						

- TSR Testing = \$0.23 / ton - Total cost = \$19.48 / ton = \$0.974 ft ³	- TSR Testing = \$0.115 / ton - Total cost = \$19.365 / ton = \$0.968 ft ³	- TSR Testing = \$0.038 / ton - Total cost = \$19.288 / ton = \$0.964 ft ³	- TSR Testin ton - Total cost = \$0.963 ft ³
- Assume the pavement of 4.2	25 inches thickness, and 26 feet 1	ane width	
$= $0.974 \times 26' \times 0.354' =$	= \$0.968 x 26' x 0.354' =	= \$0.964 x 26' x 0.354' =	= \$0.963 x 2
\$8.965 / ft	\$8.909 / ft	\$8.873 / ft	\$8.863 / ft
= \$47,333 / mile	= \$47,042 / mile	= \$46,848 / mile	= \$46,800 / 1
- Maintenance cost (Seal coar	t) for every 5-6 years, or approx	imately 3 times in 18 years	
$= 3 \times 10,000$	per lane mile		
$= 3 \times \$384.6$	62 / mile		
= \$1,153.86	5 / mile		
	,		
Total cost = $\frac{$48,487 / mile}{}$	Total cost = \$48,196 / mile	$Total cost = \underline{\$48,000 / mile}$	Total cost =

2. Adhesion Testing Using the PATTI Device

The adhesion testing of asphalt binder was conducted using the PATTI device. The experimental procedure allows measuring the tensile and bonding strength of asphalt binder that has been applied to an aggregate surface before and after exposure to water for various times of exposures. The procedure includes applying the asphalt binder to a pull stub, which is then pressed onto the aggregate surface. The pressure necessary to debond the conditioned specimen at 25 °C is measured with a pneumatic adhesion tester. Asphalt binder of the PG 58-28 garde was used, and 0.5% of the Morlife 3300 was selected as the anti-stripping agent in this test. Aggregate surfaces were prepared by obtaining a large pieces of rocks from various cources and cutting the rock to provide a smooth surface of the rock to represent aggregates. These rocks were obtained from the Geology Department at UW-Madison, with the required mineralogy corresponding to the different mineralogies that represent Wisconisn aggregate sources, as stated in the previous quarterly report.

Table 3 shows the experimental design for the adhesion test of asphalt binder with and without anti-stripping additive (Yes or No) using four aggregate sources (Platteville, Galena, Silurian, or Prairie Du Chein Dolomite). The testing was doen before and after water conditioning for different time intervals (0, 6, 24, 48 hours). The results of this test are almost completed. The analysis and conclusion will be included in the final report.

Table 3 Experimental Design for Adhesion Testing

Conditione	Platteville (P)		Gal	ena	Silu	rian	Prairie Du Chein		
d Time in			(G)		(\$	S)	(PDC)		
Water (hrs)	No Yes		No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	
0	P0-N	P0-Y	G0-N	G0-N G0-Y		S0-Y	PDC0-N	PDC0-Y	
6	P6-N	P6-Y	G6-N	G6-N G6-Y		S6-N S6-Y		PDC6-Y	
24	P24-N	P24-Y	G24-N	G24-Y	S24-N S24-Y		PDC24-N	PDC24-Y	
48	P48-N	P48-Y	G48-N	G48-N G48-Y		S48-N S48-Y		PDC48-Y	

3. Final Report

A draft of final report is being prepared. It should be completed by the beginning of May 2002. A no-cost time extensio will be applied for to allow the TOC members to review the reports and for the research team to revise the final report.

Work Next Quarter:

- Prepare documents for the final report.
- A meeting with the TOC committee will be held in late May to review the final report.
- Discuss the results or any problems that might occur during the preparation of the final report with the WisDOT representative.

Circumstances affecting progress/budget:



PROJECT I.D.	STARTING DATE	СОМ	PLETION DA	ATE	MONTH			REPORT #				
PROJECT # WISDOT	NOV 01, 2000	APR	RIL 30, 200)2	s	E P	0 1	4		PERCE	NT OF	
		% TIME ELAPSED TOTAL PROJECT FUNDING 29.00%		CONTRACT FUNDING 100 %		Project	Task Complete	Task Complete	Project Complete			
NAME OF STUDY EVALUATION OF THE EXTENT OF HMA MOISTURE DAMAGE IN WISCONSIN AS IT RELATES TO PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE						Pr	Ta O	ı, Ωţ	<u>ē</u> (
	YEAR	2000	2001				2002	_	[
TASK *	MONTH	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr 3	Qtr 4	Qtr 5	Qtr 6	Qtr 7				
TASK 1 : Collect data and Conduct Data Analysis									20	0	100	20
TASK 2 : Assessment of the Moisture Damage Problem									34	0	100	34
TASK 3: 3.1: Use and Cost of Additives									15	0	100	15
3.2 : Interim Report Meeting									2	0	100	2
TASK 4 : Expansion of Previous Study Implementation Plan									15	0	80	12
TASK 5 : 4.1 : Final Report and Recommendations									10	0	50	5
4.3 : Meeting with the TOC									2	0	0	0
4.4 : Final Report Submittal SHOW PROGRESS BY USE OF A BAR CHART:	SCHEDULED								2	0	0	0

COMPLETED 100 88

Note: Gantt chart shown in State Fiscal Year Quarters